

| | | |
|----|--|---|
| 3. | <p><u>Consultants</u></p> <p>It was AGREED that although the Group new the ‘product’, it would still be useful to call upon the expertise of the consultants in terms of the process, compliance and technical wording.</p> | |
| 4. | <p><u>Project Plan</u></p> <p>Ed Peile informed the group that he and the assistant clerk would be meeting to update the project plan and detail the process on what has been done to date.</p> | EP/Assistant Clerk |
| 5. | <p><u>Housing</u></p> <p>The group discussed the issues around housing and policy, with a number of issues being raised including large scale, small scale and infill.</p> <p>Tony Winterbottom suggested wording in the plan to include conditions for infill developments.</p> <p>The proposal for the large scale develop at ‘Woodlands’ was discussed and it was AGREED that a separate board should be displayed at the next consultation event inviting comments. It was AGREED that Colin Read would draft the wording and circulate to the group.</p> <p>The assistant clerk AGREED to circulate the findings from the questionnaire to the group for review.</p> <p>It was also AGREED that there would be a separate ‘chapter’ in the plan and Tony Winterbottom would include this in his write-up.</p> | <p>CR</p> <p>Assistant Clerk</p> <p>TW</p> |
| 6. | <p><u>Evidence papers</u></p> <p>The Assistant clerk distributed hard copies of the evidence paper templates for the group to start populating with their evidence findings and would email the digital copies after the meeting.</p> <p>Deadline for populating templates was set as 3rd December with the understanding that there may be further changes required after this date. A meeting would be set for after the 3rd to go through these as a group.</p> <p>ACTIONS: Topic Heads to complete evidence papers using templates by 3rd December Assistant Clerk to arrange meeting of the group for as soon as possible after the 3rd December</p> | <p>ALL topic heads EB</p> <p>All topic heads EB</p> |
| 7. | <p><u>Traffic</u></p> <p>The group went through the traffic consultants responses to the concerns and questions raised by the public at the feedback exhibitions and made the</p> | |

| | | |
|-----------|--|--------------|
| | <p>following DECISIONS:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposed granite setts would remain 2. The proposed zebra crossing near the Anthony hall would be moved closer to the school 3. The priority controlled narrowings on Aylesbury Road would remain in the design proposal due to the fact that they were outside the central environment of the village and would be an effective traffic calming measure. 4. Unfortunately, nothing could be done regarding the parking around the guide hut within the scope of this piece of work. 5. The issues around Brook Street and Green End St were discussed at length and it was AGREED that unfortunately these could not be addressed through the current mitigation measures and neighbourhood plan but would be logged and re-visited at a later date. 6. The consultants would be asked, subject to budget constraints, to look at the potential for a mini roundabout at Stablebridge Road/London Rd junction instead of a raised table. 7. It was felt that safety was paramount around the school and with the inevitability of increases in traffic due to the number of new developments there would be an increased risk during school pick up and drop off. The consultants therefore would be asked to look at the possibility of a 20mph restriction during school pick up and drop off times only, with flashing lights during these times. 8. The consultants would be asked, subject to budget constraints, to look at a redesign of Lower Icknield way roundabout – to prevent the straight run through from east to west along the London road which is seen as a potential safety issue 9. With regard to speed cameras, the consultants’ response was “whilst we are proposing speed reduction measures in the form of traffic calming, speed cameras and enforcement (and resultant prosecution) are an issue for the Police.” No further action would be taken on this within the realm of this piece of work. 10. Subject to budget constraints, the consultants would be asked to look at the possibility of a major re-design of the junction at Upper Icknield Way. <p>ACTION: The assistant Clerk would draft a response to the consultants and email the Colin Read for review and approval.</p> <p>It was felt by the group that it was important to make clear to the public that the aim of the traffic mitigation is to slow traffic through the village and the focus should be the mitigation measures as a whole and not just the individual parts</p> <p>The group discussed the question ‘in terms of the NHP, what are we saying about traffic’.</p> <p>It was agreed that the answer was that ‘we are saying it is necessary for the village due increases in traffic levels’</p> | <p>EB/CR</p> |
| <p>8.</p> | <p><u>Writing of the plan</u></p> <p>It was AGREED that the group would write the plan with the help of the consultants for the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Format/headings | |

| | | |
|----|--|--|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">b. Technical wording for policies etcc. Review and recommendations | |
| 9. | <p><u>Dates and deadlines</u></p> <p>Final working for the boards to be with Marion by the 18th Artwork to be uploaded to the printers by 25th</p> | |